Algorithmes de traitement d'image pour l'estimation des caractéristiques locales de la diffusion.

#### Orateur : D. Tschumperlé

#### Travail en collaboration avec Haz-Edine Assemlal and Luc Brun

#### GREYC-ENSICAEN (CNRS UMR 6072), CAEN, FRANCE

### JIRNFI'2009, Septembre 2009





- 2 DTI model : Diffusion Tensor Imaging
- 3 QBI model : Q-Ball Imaging
- Measuring the PDF : DSI and Multi Q-Ball
- 5 Conclusions & Perspectives

# Diffusion MRI: Brownian Motion



- a: Brownian motion of water molecules
- b: Constraints due to body internal structures
- c: Signal = Local macroscopic diffusion

### Objective

To estimate the probability P of the macroscopic displacement  $\mathbf{p}$  during a diffusion time dt.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

Diffusion MRI







Samples



Samples



Samples



Samples



Samples



Samples



Samples



Samples



Samples



Samples







- MRI-based image modality is able to measure water diffusion within tissues.
- Acquisition of several raw images under different magnetic field magnitudes and orientations (Q-space).



(a) Acquisition in q-space on a sphere of radius  $||\mathbf{q}||$ : a baseline image  $S_0$  and several other gradients images  $S(\mathbf{q})$ .



#### 2 DTI model : Diffusion Tensor Imaging

#### 3 QBI model : Q-Ball Imaging

#### 4 Measuring the PDF : DSI and Multi Q-Ball

#### 5 Conclusions & Perspectives



### 2nd-order Diffusion tensors

- A volume of **Diffusion Tensors** can be estimated from these raw images.
- Diffusion tensors represents Gaussian models of the water diffusion in the voxels, and are **3x3 symmetric and positive-definite matrices**.
- Representation of a DTI reconstructed image with a volume of ellipsoids :



(a) Diffusion tensor field, giving a Gaussian diffusion model on each voxel.

### Diffusion tensors for fibertracking

- Diffusion MRI images give **structural informations** about fiber networks within tissues.
- Fiber tractography can be performed by tracking the **principal diffusion directions**.



**Raise a lot of image processing problems :** Estimation of diffusion profiles, Regularization (Raw images are noisy), Visualization.

# Diffusion MRI: fiber-tracking





Fiber-tracking through human tissues (brain white matter) is usually done by following the principal eigen vector of tensors

• Consider 
$$\mathbf{D}_{(x,y,z)} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & d & e \\ c & e & f \end{pmatrix}$$
, the diffusion tensor modeling the local Gaussian diffusion at voxel  $(x, y, z)$ .

• Measured (raw) images  $S_k$  are related to the projection of the tensors  $\mathbf{D}_{(x,y,z)}$  along a particular gradient orientation  $\mathbf{q}_k$ :

$$\forall (x, y, z), \qquad S_{k_{(x,y,z)}} = S_{\mathbf{0}_{(x,y,z)}} e^{-b \mathbf{q}_k^T \mathbf{D}_{(x,y,z)} \mathbf{q}_k}$$

At least 7 images are needed to get an estimation of D.

• Method proposed by [Westin:2002], using a dual tensor basis.

Direct estimation :

$$\mathbf{D} = \sum_{k=1}^{6} < \mathbf{D}, g_k g_k^{\mathsf{T}} > g_k g_k^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{k=1}^{6} -\frac{1}{b} \ln \left(\frac{S_k}{S_0}\right) g_k g_k^{\mathsf{T}}$$

- ⊕ At least six non-colinear diffusion gradient directions, i.e 7 images are then theoretically sufficient.
- 🕀 Real-time.
- $\ominus$  Positive definiteness of the tensors is not insured.
- Ont robust to noise.

# DTI Estimation : Minimizing Least Square Error

 When n > 7 raw images are available, D can be retrieved by a least square minimization method :

$$\min_{\mathbf{D}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( -\frac{1}{b} \ln \left( \frac{S_k}{S_0} \right) - g_k^T \mathbf{D} g_k \right)^2 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \min_X \|AX - b\|^2 \\ \hat{X} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \end{array} \right.$$

- ⊕ Least square methods are more robust to noise, by using all the raw image informations.
- $\ominus$  Positive definiteness of the tensors is not insured.
- ⇒ Reprojection of the tensors into the positive tensor space is needed by both estimation methods.

### DTI Estimation : Variational Approach

• Minimizing the following criterion, in the **constrained positive tensor space :** 

$$\min_{\mathbf{D}\in\mathrm{P}(3)}\int_{\Omega}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi\left(\left|\ln\left(\frac{S_{0}}{S_{k}}\right)-g_{k}^{T}\mathbf{D}g_{k}\right|\right)+\alpha\;\phi(\|\nabla\mathbf{D}\|)\;d\Omega$$

• The corresponding gradient descent that respect the positive-definite property of the tensors is (Euler-Lagrange) :

$$\mathbf{T}_{(t=0)} = \mathbf{Id}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial t} = (\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}^T)\mathbf{T}^2 + \mathbf{T}^2(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}^T)$$

where **G** corresponds to the unconstrained velocity matrix defined as :  $G_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi'(|v_k|) \operatorname{sign}(v_k) \left(g_k g_k^{\mathsf{T}}\right)_{i,j} + \alpha \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\phi'(\|\nabla \mathsf{T}\|)}{\|\nabla \mathsf{T}\|} \nabla T_{i,j}\right),$ 

14 / 68

### DTI Estimation : Variational Approach

• Comparison results with synthetic datasets :



(a) Partial set of noisy raw images  $S_k$  (in 6 different gradient directions)



### Effect on fiber-tracking



(a) Mean diffusivity (left) and Fractional Anisotropy (right)



(b) LS estimation + fibers



(c) Variational estimation + fibers

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



Tensor (left) & Fibers (right) Variational estimation with  $\alpha = 0.1$ 

Variational estimation with  $\alpha = 0.3$ .

### Fiber scale space



Tensor (left) & Fibers (right) Variational estimation with  $\alpha = 0.1$ 

Variational estimation with  $\alpha = 1$ .

### Limits of Tensor Models

- When *n* >> 7 images are available, there is an **average of diffusion orientations** for each voxel.
- Gaussian diffusion models cannot handle the crossing problem.



(a) DTI are sufficient when fibers are mainly monodirectional



(b) DTI fails at modeling fiber crossing

### Limits of Tensor Models

- In case of crossing, the "best" case happens when the directions are orthogonals, so the fibertracking algorithm may stop.
- When crossing directions are more close, **fibertracking directions just go wrong**.



DTI ellipsoids

(b) DTI fails at modeling fiber crossing (from Descoteaux-etal:05)

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

Diffusion MRI



2 DTI model : Diffusion Tensor Imaging

#### 3 QBI model : Q-Ball Imaging

4 Measuring the PDF : DSI and Multi Q-Ball

5 Conclusions & Perspectives



### DTI versus higher order models



Numerous approaches exist: GDTI [Liu03], PASMRI [Jansons03], HODT / DOT [Özarslan03,06], Spherical Deconvolution [Tournier04], ODF [Tuch04, Descoteaux-etal05], etc.
### Spherical Harmonics: Definition

Spherical harmonics are a basis for complex functions on the unit sphere. We use a modified basis constrained to be real and symmetric (imaginary and non-symmetric parts = noise)

$$\forall (\theta_q, \phi_q) \in \Omega_S = [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi), S : \Omega_S \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$S(\theta_q, \phi_q) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} c_j Y_j(\theta_q, \phi_q) = \tilde{B} C_j(\theta_q, \phi_q),$$
with  $\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1(\theta_1, \phi_1) & \dots & Y_N(\theta_1, \phi_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Y_1(\theta_{n_s}, \phi_{n_s}) & \dots & Y_N(\theta_{n_s}, \phi_{n_s}) \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$(1)$$



Figure: Real and symmetric spherical harmonics: first orders I = 0, 2, 4, 6. Blue indicates a negative value, whereas indicates red a positive value.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 6 coefficients

Figure: Angular reconstruction along with increasing truncation order *L*.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 15 coefficients

Figure: Angular reconstruction along with increasing truncation order *L*.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 28 coefficients





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 45 coefficients





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 66 coefficients





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 91 coefficients





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 120 coefficients





(a)

Figure: Square sampled along a 5-subdivided icosahedron.

(a) 153 coefficients

The ODF  $\Psi$  at direction  $\boldsymbol{u}$  is defined as the radial projection of the diffusion PDF

$$\Psi(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{o}^{\infty} P(\alpha \mathbf{u}) d\alpha$$
  
=  $\int P(r, \theta, z) \delta(\theta, z) r dr d\theta dz$  (3)



Problem: How to get the PDF ?

# Funk-Radon Transform (FRT)

The FRT (4) is a smoothed estimation of the true ODF (3) [Tuch04]

$$\mathcal{G}_{q'}(\mathbf{u}) = 2\pi q' \int P(r,\theta,z) J_0(2\pi q'r) r dr d\theta dz \tag{4}$$



Besides, the ODF can be directly expressed from diffusion signal in spherical harmonics by a Least Square minimization [Descoteaux06]

$$ODF \approx \mathcal{G}_{q'} = \tilde{P}\tilde{B}\mathbf{C} = \sum_{j} 2\pi P_{l_j}(0)c_j Y_j$$
 (5)

Least Square ODF estimation :

- Enables resolution of any specific local structure (crossing fibers)
- Model-free method: no assumption on macroscopic diffusion
- Light matrix computations

But...

- Least Square method not adapted to Rice noise model [Sijbers98]
- No guaranty on spatial coherence of the ODF field







$$\min_{\mathbf{C}:\Omega_{C}\to\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left\{E(\mathbf{C})=\int_{\Omega_{S}}\left[\sum_{k}^{n_{s}}\psi(|\mathbf{D}_{k}|)\right]+\alpha\varphi(||\nabla\mathbf{C}||)d\Omega_{S}\right\},\$$
with  $\mathbf{D}_{k_{(\mathbf{p})}}=\mathbf{S}_{k_{(\mathbf{p})}}-\sum_{j}\tilde{B}_{k,j}\tilde{P}_{j}^{-1}\mathbf{C}_{j_{(\mathbf{p})}}$ 
(6)

The best fitting coefficients are computed with a gradient descent coming from the Euler-Lagrange derivation of the energy E. This leads to a set of *multi-valued* partial derivate equation.



# Advantages: regularity

• Ensure a global regularity of the ODF field:  $\varphi(||\nabla C_{(p)}||)$ Spherical harmonics coefficients characterize anistropy [Frank02]



regularization function  $\varphi$ 

0.4

9 10

•  $\psi$ -likelihood function adapted to MRI noise law:

The best  $\psi$  function is the one specific to MR scanners, ie. Rice distribution We seek  $\mathbf{S}_r$  which maximizes a posteriori (MAP) the log-posterior probability

$$\log p(\mathbf{S}_r | \mathbf{S}) = \log p(\mathbf{S} | \mathbf{S}_r) + \log p(\mathbf{S}_r) - \log p(\mathbf{S})$$
(8)

Consequently the pointwise likelihood is

$$\psi(\mathbf{S}_r) = \log p(\mathbf{S}|\mathbf{S}_r, \sigma) = \log \frac{\mathbf{S}}{\sigma^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{S}^2 + \mathbf{S}_r^2)}{2\sigma^2} + \log l_0\left(\frac{\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S}_r}{\sigma^2}\right)$$
(9)

[Basu,2006]

#### Simulation: influence of Rice model



### Results on synthetical data



Results are good on perfect datasets, what about MRI acquisition noise ?

#### Results on human brain hardi data



#### DTI field



#### Results on human brain hardi data



#### ODF field



(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

36 / 68

# Simulation: energy minimization



(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

# Simulation: regularization

#### without



#### with



# zoom



GFA





(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

JIRNFI'2009, Septembre 2009 38 / 68

#### DTI





# Consequences on fiber-tracking







(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

### Consequences on fiber-tracking







(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

# Consequences on fiber-tracking







(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

Diffusion MRI

JIRNFI'2009, Septembre 2009 42 / 68

As for DTI models, ODF fibertracking is very sensitive to noise.





2 DTI model : Diffusion Tensor Imaging

3 QBI model : Q-Ball Imaging

#### 4 Measuring the PDF : DSI and Multi Q-Ball

5 Conclusions & Perspectives

# Signal acquisition



#### Figure: Diffusion MRI acquisition steps.

Why measuring the PDF ?

The PDF brings new important radial information.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

Diffusion MRI

45 / 68

# Interest of Radial part of the PDF

• Information on cells micro-structure that composed the organic tissue. Ex: axon diameter, number of compartments.



Spinal cord [Cohen02]

 May increase detection of anomalies such as demyelinization, a symptom of multiple sclerosis.



Figure: Myelination of an axone [www.jdaross.cwc.net]

# Diffusion in a bi-homogeneous environment



Figure: Experimental graph: human erythocytes rate for decreasing values of hematocrites. [Kuchel97]

Empirical approximation of signal by a bi-exponential function (compartiments: intra/extra diffusion).

### Diffusion in a complex environment



Figure: Simulation plot: fibers set of various diameters. [Cohen02]

#### Observations

Important information are found in the *radial* diffusion profile.

#### The Fourier Transform

$$PDF(\mathbf{p}) = \int_{\mathbf{q}} E(\mathbf{q}) \exp(-2\pi i \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q}$$
 [Cory90,Callaghan91]

#### DSI: Fourier transform [Wedeen00]



- Very long acquisition time
- Needs high gradients ⇒ Magnetic field distortion

#### The Fourier Transform

$$PDF(\mathbf{p}) = \int_{\mathbf{q}} E(\mathbf{q}) \exp(-2\pi i \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{q}$$
 [Cory90,Callaghan91]

#### DSI: Fourier transform [Wedeen00]



- Very long acquisition time
- Needs high gradients ⇒ Magnetic field distortion

#### Problem

#### The DSI is not clinical-compliant.

#### The Funk-Radon Transform

 $ODF(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\mathbf{u}\perp\mathbf{k}} E(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}$ 

#### HARDI: High Angular Diffusion Imaging [Tuch02]



- Reduced acquisition time
- Lack of radial information

#### The Funk-Radon Transform

 $ODF(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\mathbf{u}\perp\mathbf{k}} E(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u}$ 

#### HARDI: High Angular Diffusion Imaging [Tuch02]



- Reduced acquisition time
- Lack of radial information

#### Problem

The ODF does not give any radial information.
### HARDI Extension: multi-sphere imaging



Figure: Example of HARDI extension [Assaf05, Özarslan06, Wu07, Khachaturian07, Assemlal-et.al08, Assemlal-et.al09].

Better distribution of samples on the Q-Space.

# HARDI Extension: multi-sphere imaging



Figure: Example of HARDI extension [Assaf05, Özarslan06, Wu07, Khachaturian07, Assemlal-et.al08, Assemlal-et.al09].

Better distribution of samples on the Q-Space.

#### Problem

Still insufficient number of samples for a Fourier transform. Which mathematical tool for the signal estimation ?

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

Continuous representation of the MR signal E in the following basis (Spherical Polar Fourier SPF):

$$E(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} a_{nlm} R_n(||\mathbf{q}||) y_l^m \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{||\mathbf{q}||}\right)$$
(10)

where  $a_{nlm}$  expansion coefficients,  $R_n$  and  $y_l^m$  are respectively are radial and angular atoms.

The basis is orthonormal in spherical coordinates:

$$\int_{\mathbf{q}\in\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left[ R_{n}(||\mathbf{q}||)y_{l}^{m}\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{||\mathbf{q}||}\right) \right] \cdot \left[ R_{n'}(||\mathbf{q}||)y_{l'}^{m'}\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{||\mathbf{q}||}\right) \right] d\mathbf{q} = \delta_{nn'll'mm'}$$
(11)

$$R_{n}(||\mathbf{q}||) = \left[\frac{2}{\gamma^{3/2}} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+3/2)}\right]^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{||\mathbf{q}||^{2}}{2\gamma}\right) L_{n}^{1/2}\left(\frac{||\mathbf{q}||^{2}}{\gamma}\right) \quad (12)$$

$$R_{n}(||\mathbf{q}||) = \left[\frac{2}{\gamma^{3/2}} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+3/2)}\right]^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{||\mathbf{q}||^{2}}{2\gamma}\right) L_{n}^{1/2}\left(\frac{||\mathbf{q}||^{2}}{\gamma}\right) \quad (12)$$

Figure: Some radial atoms 
$$R_n$$
,  $\gamma = 100$ 

Figure: Experimental plot [Regan06]

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



Figure: Radial reconstruction along with increasing truncation order N.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



Figure: Radial reconstruction along with increasing truncation order N.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



Figure: Radial reconstruction along with increasing truncation order N.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



Figure: Radial reconstruction along with increasing truncation order N.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



Figure: Radial reconstruction along with increasing truncation order N.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



Figure: Radial reconstruction along with increasing truncation order N.

(GREYC-ENSICAEN)



#### How to fit the data

From the diffusion samples, how do we retrieve the SPF coefficients ?



### Linear signal estimation

The coefficient estimation is computed by the linear damped least square method:

$$\mathbf{A} = \underset{\mathbf{A}}{\arg\min} ||\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}||^{2} + \lambda_{I} ||\mathbf{L}||^{2} + \lambda_{n} ||\mathbf{N}||^{2}$$
(13)  
=  $(\mathbf{M}^{T}\mathbf{M} + \lambda_{I}\mathbf{L}^{T}\mathbf{L} + \lambda_{n}\mathbf{N}^{T}\mathbf{N})^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{T}\mathbf{E}$ (14)

where  ${\bm M}$  is the basis matrix,  ${\bm E}$  is the MR signal vector and  ${\bm A}$  is the coefficient vector:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} R_0(||\mathbf{q}_1||)y_0^0\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_1}{||\mathbf{q}_1||}\right) & \dots & R_N(||\mathbf{q}_1||)y_L^L\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_1}{||\mathbf{q}_1||}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_0(||\mathbf{q}_{n_s}||)y_0^0\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_{n_s}}{||\mathbf{q}_{n_s}||}\right) & \dots & R_N(||\mathbf{q}_{n_s}||)y_L^L\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_{n_s}}{||\mathbf{q}_{n_s}||}\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (15)$$
$$\mathbf{E} = (E(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, E(\mathbf{q}_{n_s}))^T \qquad (16)$$
$$\mathbf{A} = (a_{000}, \dots, a_{NLL})^T \qquad (17)$$

#### Simulation: linear least square reconstruction



Figure: N = 0, L = 4,  $\gamma = 100$ , 1 sphere – 42 directions, PSNR: 33.337902, 30 Coefficients

#### Simulation: linear least square reconstruction



Figure: N = 3, L = 4,  $\gamma = 70$ , 3 spheres – 42 directions, PSNR: 45.172752, 45 Coefficients

#### Simulation: linear least square reconstruction



Figure: N = 5, L = 6,  $\gamma = 50$ , 10 spheres – 162 directions, PSNR: 50.255381, 168 Coefficients Now that we have a continuous reconstruction of the diffusion signal E, how do we compute the PDF ?

Now that we have a continuous reconstruction of the diffusion signal E, how do we compute the PDF ?

We don't. This would require a lot of computation. Besides, the PDF is cumbersome to display.

Now that we have a continuous reconstruction of the diffusion signal E, how do we compute the PDF ?

We don't. This would require a lot of computation. Besides, the PDF is cumbersome to display.

We are interesting in a data reduction suitable to display: *features* of the PDF.

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(18)



$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



Figure: Example: ODF feature.

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



Figure: Example: ODF feature.

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



Figure: Example: ODF feature.

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



Figure: Example: ODF feature.

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)



Figure: Example: ODF feature.

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(19)

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(20)



Figure: Overview of the algorithm for the fast computation of a PDF feature  ${\cal G}$  at point  ${\bm k}$ 

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3} PDF(\mathbf{p}) H_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}$$
(20)



Figure: Overview of the algorithm for the fast computation of a PDF feature  ${\cal G}$  at point  ${\bm k}$ 

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{q \in \mathbb{R}^3} E(\mathbf{q}) h_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}) d\mathbf{q}$$
(20)



Figure: Overview of the algorithm for the fast computation of a PDF feature  ${\cal G}$  at point  ${\bm k}$ 





Figure: Overview of the algorithm for the *fast computation* of a PDF feature  ${\cal G}$  at point  ${\bm k}$ 

(20)

G	ODF	FRT	ISO	P(0)
H <sub>k</sub> (p)	$\delta(1-\frac{ \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{k} }{ \mathbf{p}  \mathbf{k} })$	$2\pi q' J_0(2\pi q'  \mathbf{p} (1-\frac{ \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{k} }{ \mathbf{p}  \mathbf{k} }))$	$\delta( \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k} ) + \delta( \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k} )$	$\delta(\mathbf{p})$
			•	
$h_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q})$	$\delta(\frac{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{k}}{ \mathbf{q}  \mathbf{k} })$	$\delta( \mathbf{q}  -  \mathbf{q}' )\delta(\frac{\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{k}}{ \mathbf{q}  \mathbf{k} })$	$\cos(2\pi \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{k})$	$\frac{1}{Z^3}$
		$\bigcirc$		

# Simulation: features of the PDF (ODF)



Figure: ODF comparisons.

1st line: single fiber.

2nd, 3rd lines: crossing fibers (face and profile view)

### Variational framework

Robustly estimate and regularize the SPF coefficients by minimizing the functional energy:

$$\min_{A} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_{E}} \left[ \sum_{k}^{n_{s}} \psi(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{k}) \right] + \alpha_{r} \varphi(||\nabla \mathbf{A}||) d\Omega_{E} \right\}, \text{ with } \hat{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{A}$$
(21)

The best fitting coefficients A are computed with a gradient descent coming from the Euler-Lagrange derivation of the energy. This leads to a set of *multi-valued* partial derivate equation.

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}_{t=0} = U_0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_j}{\partial t} = \sum_k^{n_s} \mathbf{M}_{k,j} \psi'(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_k) + \alpha_r \operatorname{div}(\frac{\varphi'(||\nabla \mathbf{A}||)}{||\nabla \mathbf{A}||} \nabla \mathbf{A}) \end{cases}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{C}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{i}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \\\ \underbrace{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{O}_$$
### Simulation: Rician vs Gaussian likelihood function



(GREYC-ENSICAEN)

Diffusion MRI

# Simulation: validation on synthetical data (likelihood)



Figure: Synthetic phantom of networks of crossing fibers. Performances of likelihood functions on increasing levels of noise.

## Simulation: regularization vs no regularization



Figure: Effects of spatial regularization on the GFA. Isotropic area are black, anisotropic area are white. PSNR(noisy,original)=18.5.



2 DTI model : Diffusion Tensor Imaging

3 QBI model : Q-Ball Imaging

4 Measuring the PDF : DSI and Multi Q-Ball

**5** Conclusions & Perspectives

#### Diversity of estimation algorithms

- Before estimation : Sensitivity to image registration.
- During estimation : Algorithms with few parameters miss often important signal features (rice noise model, regularity constraints).
- After estimation : There are at least as far as many fibertracking algorithms as estimation techniques.

#### Diversity of estimation algorithms

- Before estimation : Sensitivity to image registration.
- During estimation : Algorithms with few parameters miss often important signal features (rice noise model, regularity constraints).
- After estimation : There are at least as far as many fibertracking algorithms as estimation techniques.

## Do not trust your algorithms !

#### Modern estimation methods are trying to reconstruct the PDF

- Estimation of the PDF or PDF characteristics from a minimal set of images, sampled in the Q-space.
- **Generic approach :** The same method is able to compute different diffusion characteristics.
- Flexible approach : The more number of samples you get, the more precise characteristic you compute.

#### Modern estimation methods are trying to reconstruct the PDF

- Estimation of the PDF or PDF characteristics from a minimal set of images, sampled in the Q-space.
- **Generic approach :** The same method is able to compute different diffusion characteristics.
- Flexible approach : The more number of samples you get, the more precise characteristic you compute.

Thank you for your attention.